

---

# Extremal Properties of Eigenvalues

---

SEUNGJIN CHOI  
DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE  
POSTECH, KOREA  
seungjin@postech.ac.kr

**Definition 1** Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  be symmetric. The Rayleigh quotient  $R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{A})$  is defined by

$$R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{A}) = \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}}. \quad (1)$$

**Theorem 1** Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  be symmetric with its eigenvalues being  $\{\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m\}$ . For  $\forall \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , we have

$$\lambda_m \leq \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}} \leq \lambda_1, \quad (2)$$

and in particular,

$$\lambda_m = \min_{\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}} \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}}, \quad (3)$$

$$\lambda_1 = \max_{\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}} \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}}. \quad (4)$$

*Proof:* The eigen-decomposition of the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is given by

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{U}^T, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\mathbf{U} = [\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m]$$
$$\mathbf{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

If  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{x}$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}} &= \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i y_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^m y_i^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

It follows from (6) that

$$\lambda_m \sum_{i=1}^m y_i^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i y_i^2 \leq \lambda_1 \sum_{i=1}^m y_i^2.$$

Eqs. (3) and (4) are verified by choices of  $\mathbf{x}$  for which the bounds in (2) are attained. For instance, the lower bound is attained with  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_m$ , while the upper bound holds with  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_1$ . QED.

**Note:** For  $\forall \mathbf{x} \neq 0$ ,  $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{x}$  is a unit vector. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_m &= \min_{\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z}=1} \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{z}, \\ \lambda_1 &= \max_{\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z}=1} \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{z}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

**Theorem 2** Let  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$  be a symmetric matrix having eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m$ , with  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m$  being a corresponding set of orthonormal eigenvectors. For  $h = 1, \dots, m$ , define  $\mathcal{S}_h$  and  $\mathcal{T}_h$  to the vector spaces spanned by the columns of  $\mathbf{X}_h = [\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_h]$  and  $\mathbf{Y}_h = [\mathbf{x}_h, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m]$ , respectively. Then,

$$\lambda_h = \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}_h} \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}} = \min_{\mathbf{Y}_{h+1}^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}} \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}}, \quad (8)$$

and

$$\lambda_h = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{T}_h} \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}} = \max_{\mathbf{X}_{h-1}^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}} \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}}, \quad (9)$$

where the vector  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has been excluded from the maximization and minimization processes.

*Proof:* We will prove the result concerning the minimum; the proof for the maximum is similar. Let  $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m]$  and  $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\}$ . Since  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{\Lambda}$  and  $\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{I}_m$ , it follows that  $\mathbf{X}_h^T \mathbf{X}_h = \mathbf{I}_h$  and  $\mathbf{X}_h^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}_h = \mathbf{\Lambda}_h$ , where  $\mathbf{\Lambda}_h = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_h\}$ . Note that  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}_h$  if and only if there exists an  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^h$  such that  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{X}_h \mathbf{y}$ . Then

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}_h} \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}} = \min_{\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}} \frac{\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{X}_h^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}_h \mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{X}_h^T \mathbf{X}_h \mathbf{y}} = \min_{\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{0}} \frac{\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{\Lambda}_h \mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y}} = \lambda_h, \quad (10)$$

where the last equality follows from Theorem 1. The second version of the minimization follows immediately from the first and the fact that the null space of  $\mathbf{Y}_{h+1}^T$  is  $\mathcal{S}_h$ . QED.

### Remarks

- $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}_h$  iff  $\mathbf{Y}_{h+1}^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  ( $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{Y}_{h+1}^T)$ ).
- Since  $\mathcal{S}_h \perp \mathcal{T}_h$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{S}_h$  implies  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{Y}_{h+1}^T)$ .

**Theorem 3** Let  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  be  $m \times m$  matrices, with  $\mathbf{A}$  being nonnegative definite and  $\mathbf{B}$  positive definite. For  $h = 1, \dots, m$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}_h &= [\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_h], \\ \mathbf{Y}_h &= [\mathbf{x}_h, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_m$  are linear independent eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}$  corresponding to the eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1(\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_m(\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}). \quad (11)$$

Then

$$\lambda_h(\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}) = \min_{\mathbf{Y}_{h+1}^T \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}=0} \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}}, \quad (12)$$

and

$$\lambda_h(\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}) = \max_{\mathbf{X}_{h-1}^T \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}=0} \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}}, \quad (13)$$

where  $\mathbf{x} = 0$  is excluded, and the min and max are over all  $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$  when  $h = m$  and  $h = 1$ , respectively.

*Proof:* The spectral decomposition of  $\mathbf{B}$  is  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{U}^T$ . If we let  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{U}^T$ , then  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}^2$ . Note that  $\mathbf{T}$ , like  $\mathbf{B}$ , is symmetric and nonsingular. Putting  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\mathbf{Y}_{h+1}^T \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}=0} \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}} &= \min_{\mathbf{Y}_{h+1}^T \mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}=0} \frac{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}^{-1} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{T}^{-1} \mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}} \\ &= \min_{\mathbf{Y}_{h+1}^T \mathbf{T}\mathbf{y}=0} \frac{\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{T}^{-1} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{T}^{-1} \mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y}}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Note that if we write  $\lambda_i = \lambda_i(\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A})$ , then  $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_i = \lambda_i\mathbf{x}_i$ , so that

$$\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_i = \lambda_i\mathbf{x}_i, \quad (15)$$

which implies

$$\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}_i = \lambda_i\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}_i. \quad (16)$$

Thus,  $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}_i$  is an eigenvector of  $\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{T}^{-1}$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_i = \lambda_i(\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{T}^{-1})$ . That is, the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A}$  are the same as those of  $\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{T}^{-1}$ . Since the rows of  $\mathbf{Y}_{h+1}^T \mathbf{T}$  are the transpose of the eigenvectors  $\mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}_{h+1}, \dots, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}_m$ , it follows from Theorem 2 that (14) equals  $\lambda_h(\mathbf{T}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{T}^{-1})$ , which we have already established as being the same as  $\lambda_h(\mathbf{B}^{-1}\mathbf{A})$ .